City of Havre de Grace

# BOARD of APPEALS AGENDA 

City Hall Council Chambers
August 3, 2023
6:30 PM

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. New Business

a. | BoA No. | 535 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | $123 / 127$ South Washington Street |
| Owner/Applicant: | 123 S. Washington St. LLC. |
| Permit No: | $2023-0429$ |
| Parcel No.: | $06-028055 / 06-036279$ |
| Description: |  |.

To hear a request by the property owner for a Conditional Use approval within the Residential Business/RB zoning district to convert the properties 123/127 South Washington Street from Commercial Use as a funeral home to a multifamily dwelling with (5) apartments total. The proposed use as a Multifamily Dwelling per $\S 205-27 \mathrm{E}$ is currently listed as a Conditional Use within the RB zoning district. The applicant is also requesting a Parking Variance per $\S 122$-Off Street Parking for the (5) apartments.
Also, the applicant is requesting a Variance approval per §205 Attachment 1 Table 1 Lot Size for minimum lot area of 10,000 square feet requirements.

b. | BoA No. | 530 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location: | 556 Lewis Street |
| Owner/Applicant: | Lisa Scott-Coleman |
| Permit No.: | $2023-0245$ |
| Parcel No.: | $06-012299$ (552 Lewis Street) |
| Parcel No.: | $06-008658$ (556 Lewis Street) |
| Parcel No.: | $06-012302$ (Vacant Lot next to 556) | (V)

To hear a request by the property owners of 556 Lewis Street for expansion of the existing funeral home at (currently) 552 Lewis Street-per §205-3.A(4). Although the current funeral home operation is a grandfathered use, it is still considered a nonconforming use within the RO zoning district.

## 4. Old Business

5. Adjournment

The public is invited to attend and observe the meeting. The meeting may be viewed live by visiting the City of Havre de Grace website at www.havredegracemd.com and click on the City YouTube Videos tab. The video will be available to view immediately following the meeting.

## City of Havre de Grace

711 PENNINGTON AVENUE, HAVRE DE GRACE, MARYLAND 21078

July 25, 2023

## DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING STAFF REPORT

BOARD OF APPEALS Case No. 535

PERMIT NO.

PROJECT:

APPLICANT/OWNER: Owner
123 South Washington Street, LLC
Tara and Fred Zellman

Havre de Grace, Maryland 21078
Applicant
Nicholas and Anna Niceforo

Staten Island, New York 10308
ATTORNEY:

LOCATION:

AREA

ZONING

DATE FILED:

HEARING DATE

Brian K. Young
808 South Main Street
Bel Air, Maryland 21014
123 and 127 South Washington Street
Two parcels (map attached)
Tax Map: 601 / Parcels: 1001 \& 1002
9,400+/- square feet total ( 6,600 sq. ft.; 2,800 sq. ft.)
RB/Residential Business

July 7, 2023

August 3, 2023 - Board of Appeals

## APPLICANT'S REQUEST:

The Applicant is requesting the following review by the Board of Appeals:

- Conditional Use for "multifamily dwellings" per Section 205-27 of the City Code;
- Variance from the 10,000 square foot lot requirements for multifamily dwellings as required in Table I "Lot Specification" (adopted as part of Chapter 205 Zoning);
- Variance from Chapter 122, Parking, Off-Street, as explained later in the staff report.


## LAND USE - EXISTING:

The property is located near the northeast corner of South Washington and Bourbon Streets. The existing building is currently Zellman Funeral Home. Attached to this report is a Site Plan, 2023 Aerial Photograph and site photos (Attachments 1-3, respectively).

## ZONING:

The subject property is zoned RB/Residential Business District as shown on the enclosed copy of the Zoning Map (Attachment 4). The subject properties are also surrounded by properties in the RB/Residential Business zoning district.

## PROPOSED USE:

The Applicant is proposing to redevelop the property into five apartments. There is already an apartment existing on the second floor of the building, meaning four new apartments would be constructed in the existing building footprint. "Multifamily dwelling" units are allowed as a Conditional Use under §20527(E).

## REVIEW OF APPLICABLE CODE SECTIONS:

"Dwelling, multifamily" is defined under Section 205-13, "Definitions", and applies to the proposed redevelopment. Section $25-17$ (B) and (C) of the City Code are applicable to this request concerning conditional use and variance reviews, respectively. The Department of Planning has reviewed the relevant sections and offers the responses found below.

Section 25-17(B)
Special Exceptions. A Special Exception may be granted when the Board of Appeals finds from the evidence of record that the proposed use:

1) Is a permissible Special Exception within the zone and that the petition complies with all procedural requirements set forth in this chapter;
"Multifamily dwelling" units are a Conditional Use (also referred to as a Special Exception) in the $\mathrm{RB} /$ Residential Business zoning district. The request complies with all procedural requirements.
2) Complies with all standards and requirements specifically set forth for such use as may be contained in this chapter and the development standards for the zoning district within which the intended use will be located;

The Applicant plans to renovate the existing building. As part of the renovation requirements, the City requires the Applicant to consolidate the two lots. The lot consolidation causes the need for the two variance requests. Besides the two items up for variance review, the project meets all of the City's requirements and development standards. The redevelopment will require a building permit, trade permits and review by County inspectors prior to receiving and Use \& Occupancy permit.
3) Will not be detrimental to the use, peaceful enjoyment, economic value or development of surrounding properties or the general neighborhood; and will cause no objectionable noise, vibrations, fumes, odors, dust, toxicity, glare or physical activity;

Most of the neighborhood is residential in character and the redevelopment would be consistent.
4) Will be in harmony with the general character of the neighborhood considering population density, design, scale and bulk of any proposed new structure or conversion of existing structures; as well as the intensity and character of activity, traffic and parking conditions and number of similar uses;

The existing building will not change externally, or may very minimally. The request will be consistent with the current neighborhood.
5) Will be consistent with the comprehensive plan or other planning guides or capital programs for the physical development of the district;

The project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and infill development in the historic center of the City.
6) Will not adversely affect the health, safety, security, morals or general welfare of residents, visitors or workers in the area;

The requested redevelopment for multifamily dwellings should not adversely affect the health, safety, security, morals or general welfare of residents, visitors or workers in the area.
7) Will be served by adequate public services and facilities, including police and fire protection, water and sanitary sewer, storm drainage, public roads and other public improvements; and

The subject property is presently served by public water and sewer. Additional Capital Cost Recovery Fees apply and payment will be required prior to receiving a building permit.
8) Will consider the environmental impact, the effect on sensitive features and opportunities for recreation and open space.

There will be no increased environmental impact caused by redeveloping the existing building.
9) Will consider the preservation of cultural and Historic Landmarks.

123 and 127 South Washington are not listed on the Historic Havre de Grace website. However, the existing building, constructed in 1924, will be renovated and preserved consistent with the City's designated historic area.

## 10) That the petitioner has demonstrated a need for the requested use.

National, regional and local trends all identify the need for additional housing.

## Variance Request

The existing building was constructed in 1924, with an addition in 1987. Under City rules adopted after construction of the building, no single building can cover multiple lots--the City is requiring the Applicant to consolidate the lots. The consolidation causes two problems: 1) the Applicant's request is redefined under the zoning code from duplex to multifamily; and, 2) the redevelopment is more than three units requiring off-street parking per Chapter 122. Each of these items are examined below:

## Issue 1 - Multifamily definition

The Applicant is proposing five apartment units, where one unit already exists and would be considered "grandfathered" since the building was constructed prior to the current zoning regulations. The Applicant could renovate the building in a manner where two apartments were within one existing lot and two units were inside the other lot. In this case, the renovation would be considered the development of two duplexes and both lots would meet the minimum requirements of Table I.

## Issue 2 - Off-Street Parking

Moreover, the two properties are in the City's "parking exempt area", as defined under Section 122-2. The last line of Section 122-2 requires parking in the parking exempt area for "buildings or structures with three or more dwelling units". Two duplexes would be considered less than three dwelling units and no off-street parking would be required. The lot consolidation creates four units and removes the off-street parking exemption.

Section 122 is also unclear concerning whether parking is required for all units once the three-unit threshold is surpassed, or only for those units over three. Moreover, Section 122-6(A), "Number of spaces required", states three parking spaces are required for "new construction", but it does not speak to redevelopment. The Department of Planning interprets the code as requiring only parking for one unit (one unit is grandfathered and three are exempt). The Department also interprets Section 122 as requiring two spaces per unit, per Table I of Chapter 122. It is recommended that only two off-street parking spaces are required.

Nevertheless, two variances are required for review by the Board of Appeals. This analysis is provided below:

## Section 25-17(C)

Variances. A variance from the terms of this article may be authorized by the Board of Appeals upon proof by the evidence of record. If a conflict between this statute and state law exists, the state law prevails. The Board shall not grant a request for a variance unless the Board finds.

1) Because of unique physical conditions, such as the irregularity, narrowness or shallowness of lot size and shape, or exceptional topographical conditions peculiar to and inherent in the particular lot, there is no reasonable possibility in pursuing the proposed use or developing the lot in strict conformance with zoning regulations; and:

The existing building sits on two lots. Parcel 1001 changes lot width part way into site, narrowing by two feet before returning to the 34 -foot lot width. The lots are also different lengths, with one at 100 feet and the other at 200. The ' L '-shaped lot and change in lot widths for Parcel 1001, combined with the existing structure covering both lots, is a condition unique to this site.
2) Because of exceptional circumstances other than financial considerations, the granting of a variance is necessary to avoid practical difficulties of unnecessary hardship, and to enable the applicant to pursue the proposed use or development of the lot; and:

Granting of the variance requests is necessary due to the hardships caused by the City's requirements to consolidate the two lots. The physical configuration of the two lots and the location in the built environment also create practical difficulties that can only be remedied by granting the variance requests.
3) The literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship; and:

As discussed previously, literal enforcement of the zoning ordinance and off-street parking ordinance would cause practical difficulties and an unnecessary hardship.
4) Granting the relief requested would not do substantial injury to the public health, safety and general welfare and is the minimum relief to permit the petitioners' use or development of the lot.

Residential redevelopment at this location would be much less intense than the existing funeral establishment use. Although off-peak parking would increase near the location, the location has been determined previously by ordinance to be in an area where off-street parking is exempt. The new use will not cause substantial injury. The two requested variances are the minimum relief required for the applicant to continue the redevelopment project.

The Department of Planning believes the four variance findings have been satisfied and the Applicant's request should be granted.

## RECOMMENDATION \& SUGGESTED CONDITIONS:

The Department of Planning recommends APPROVAL of the Conditional Use for a Multifamily Dwellings at 123 and 127 South Washington Street. The Department of Planning further recommends APPROVAL of the two variance requests, subject to the following conditions:

1. Depending of the interpretation of Chapter 122, Parking, Off-Street, it is recommended that the Board of Appeals require no less than two off-street parking spaces, but no more than eight.
2. The Applicant shall obtain all applicable permits and inspections for the building renovations.
3. All applicable fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Use \& Occupancy Permit.

## Timothy Bourcier

 7/25/2023Tim Bourcier
Date
Director
Department of Planning
cc: Eric Lawrence, Associate Planner
Marisa Willis, CFM, Planner
Colleen Critzer, Permits Clerk
Board of Appeals Members
Brian Young, Attorney
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## Department of Planning

BOA Staff Report
123 \& 127 South Washington Street
Residential Redevelopment - Conditional Use and Variance requests

2023 Zoning Map - 123-127 South Washington Street
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Attorney/Representative $\qquad$
Mailing Address $\qquad$

EMAIL Address $\qquad$

## Land Description

Address and Location of Property 123 and 127 S Washington Street, Havre de Grace, MD 21078

Subdivision $n / a$
Lot Numbern/a
Acreage/Lot Size $\underline{\text { 9,060 SF } \quad \text { ZoningRB }}$
Tax Map No. 601 Grid No. 0000 Parcel 1001
List ALL structures on property and current use: Brick dwelling being used as a funeral home and a single family residential dwelling
Estimated time required to present case: 30 minutes
Would approval of this petition violate the covenants and restrictions for your property, if any? Yes $\qquad$ No
 Is this property located within the County's Chesapeake Bay Critical Area? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Is this property located within a Special Flood Hazard Zone? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ If so, what is the Critical Area Land Use designations:Federal Lands and Incorporated Towns Is this request the result of a zoning enforcement investigation? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$

## Request

VARIANCE - CONDITIONAL USE
To change the conditional use from funeral home to multifamily dwelling.
Applicant desires to renovate the properties into 5 apartments.
One 3-bedroom unit and Four 2-bedroom units.
(2) Variance from 122-6(A) 2 parking spaces per dwelling unit and (3) Table I-10,000 sq ft lot required

## Justification

See the attached.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

I/We agree to provide additional information as requested by the Department of Planning, Planning Commission or the Board of Appeals.
1/We do hereby declare that no officer or employee of the City of Havre de Grace, whether elected or appointed, has received prior hereto or will receive subsequent hereto any monetary or material consideration, any service or thing of value, directly or indirectly, upon more favorable terms than those granted to the public generally in connection with the submission, processing, issuance, grant or aware of the within application or petition.

1/We do solemnly declare and affirm under the penalties of perjury that this petition contains names and addresses of all persons having legal or equitable interest in the property, including shareholders owning more than five percent (5\%) of the stock in a corporation having any interest in the property, except those corporations listed and traded on a recognized stock exchange.

1/We do solemnly declare and affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the aforegoing affidavit are true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge, information and belief.

I/We agree, upon final action, to comply with all requirements or conditions imposed by the Planning Commission and/or Board of Appeals.

| A Peteraf for Per Zaterm | $07 / 07 / 2023$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signature of Owner | Date | Witness | Date |
| hav lat | 07 / 07 / 2023 |  |  |
| Signature of Co-Applicant | Date | Witness | Date |
| Spear K Youns | 07/07/2023 |  |  |
| Signature of Attorney/Representative | Date | Witness | Date |

## Signature of Director of Planning Date

ATTACHMENT TO CITY OF HAVRE DE GRACE BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION Applicants: 123 S Washington LLC and Nicholas Niceforo and Anna Niceforo Property: 123 and 127 S Washington Street, Havre de Grace, MD 21078

## Justification

The location is appropriate and not in conflict with the Comprehensive Plan. The grant of the relief requested would not adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare. The proposed use will have a lesser impact than the current use and there will be adequate public facilities. The proposed use will provided desirable, in demand housing options for residents of Havre de Grace. and will be beneficial to neighborhood values, persons, and surrounding properties.

The properties are unique and unusual in manner in that there is one unusually shaped structure on two parcels with alley access to a driveway. The properties are unusually shaped with an "indentation" on an "L" shaped lot by neighboring parcels. The property is also unique in that there is a $1,250.28$ square foot garage constructed partially on the property and partially on an adjacent parcel, to which the subject properties have access. The entrance to the garage is solely on the subject property. The lease has a 20 year term and is recorded with Harford County Land Records. These unique characteristics cause the zoning code to impact disproportionately the subject properties.

The applicant will suffer from practical difficulty if the variances are not granted. The garage constructed by the adjacent property owner takes away suitable parking on the subject property but creates parking spots off site which are utilized via lease by the subject property. The unusual shape of the lot also diminishes the number of available parking spots. If a stringent reading of the code is utilized the garage provides additional space which is under lease. Additionally, there is ample parking on surrounding streets in a walkable area of the city, and there will be much less traffic than that created by the current use of a funeral home. The lots are configured to eliminate any adverse impact to the city as the driveway is accessed from Strawberry Lane and not a major throughfare.

The hardship caused by the code is not the property owner's own actions and the spirit of the ordinance is observed by the proposed use. A variance would grant substantial relief without causing injustice to any neighboring property. The hardship is peculiar to these properties and not similar to other properties in the area given the unusual shape of the lot and the lease and presence of the garage across the property and the adjacent lot. There is no reasonable alternative use of the property as other uses are out of character for the neighborhood.


# ATTACHMENT TO CITY OF HAVRE DE GRACE BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION <br> Applicants: 123 S Washington LLC and Nicholas Niceforo and Anna Niceforo Property: 123 and 127 S Washington Street, Havre de Grace, MD 21078 

## Chapter 205. Zoning

## Article VII. RB Residential Business District

## § 205-27. Conditional uses.

[Amended 5-4-2009 by Ord. No. 904]
The Board of Appeals may permit the following conditional uses:
A.

Single-family detached dwellings meeting Lot Specification D, Table I.
B.

Single-family attached dwellings.
C.

Townhouse dwellings meeting Lot Specification E, Table I, and the requirements of this chapter.
D.

Cottage dwellings meeting the requirements of this chapter.
E.

Multifamily dwellings.
F.

Rooming houses, bed-and-breakfasts and corporate housing.
G.

Parking facility or parking lot.
[Amended 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914]
H.

Churches meeting Lot Specification H, Table I.
I.

Schools.
J.

Clubs, provided that any principal building or swimming pool shall be located not less than 100 feet from any other lot in any residential district.
K.

Public utility structures. ${ }^{[1]}$
[1]
Editor's Note: Former Subsection L, Cemeteries, which immediately followed this subsection, was
repealed 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914, which ordinance also redesignated former Subsections M through AA as Subsections $\mathbf{L}$ through $\mathbf{Z}$, respectively.
L.

Funeral establishments, provided that a principal vehicular access shall be located on the public right-of-way and site illumination shall be limited to parking areas and landscaped areas.
M.

Gas stations, provided that no such use shall be permitted within the Chesapeake Bay at mean high tide critical area as shown on the Critical Area Map.
[Amended 9-15-2014 by Ord. No. 960]
N .
Hotels with more than 25 rooms meeting Lot Specification F, Table I. ${ }^{[2]}$
[Amended 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914; 4-16-2018 by Ord. No. 1001; 4-15-2019 by Ord. No. 1014]
[2]

Editor's Note: Former Subsection P, Warehouses, and Subsection Q, Bulk petroleum and sales, which immediately followed this subsection, were repealed 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914, which ordinance also redesignated former Subsections $R$ through AA as Subsections $\boldsymbol{O}$ through $\boldsymbol{X}$, respectively.
O.

Retail sales and services meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
[Amended 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914]
$P$.
Temporary commercial circuses and carnivals, provided that:
(1)

Occupancy permits may be issued for a period not exceeding seven days.
(2)

A minimum lot area of one acre shall be provided.
Q.

Bakery meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
R.

Laundry, clothes cleaning, dyeing, carpet cleaning and linen supply meeting Lot Specification O, Table I. ${ }^{13}$
[3]
Editor's Note: Former Subsection V, Wholesaling, which immediately followed this subsection, was repealed 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914, which ordinance also redesignated former Subsections W through AA as Subsections $\mathbf{S}$ through $\boldsymbol{W}$, respectively.
$S$.
Offices meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
T.

Retail sales meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
U.

Banks meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
V.

Personal service shops meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
W.

Restaurants meeting Lot Specification O, Table I.
X.

Amusement center.
[Added 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914]
Y.

Community residential facility.
[Added 5-3-2010 by Ord. No. 914]
Z.

Auto repair or service center.
[Added 9-15-2014 by Ord. No. 960]

ATTACHMENT TO CITY OF HAVRE DE GRACE BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION Applicants: 123 S Washington LLC and Nicholas Niceforo and Anna Niceforo Property: 123 and 127 S Washington Street, Havre de Grace, MD 21078

City of Havre de Grace, MD / Code / Boards, Committees and Commissions Article IV Board of Appeals § 25-17. Findings required.
B. Special exceptions.
(1) 205-27(E) allows Multifamily dwellings per Conditional Use approval within the zone and the petition complies with all procedural requirements set forth in this article.
(2) Multifamily dwellings comply with all standards and requirements specifically set forth for such use as may be contained in this article and the development standards for the zoning district within which the intended use will be located.
(3) Multifamily dwellings will not be detrimental to the use, peaceful enjoyment, economic value or development of surrounding properties or the general neighborhood; and will cause no objectionable noise, vibrations, fumes, odors, dust, toxicity, glare or physical activity.
(4) Multifamily dwellings will be in harmony with the general character of the neighborhood considering population density, design, scale and bulk of any proposed new structure or conversion of existing structures, as well as the intensity and character of activity, traffic and parking conditions and number of similar uses.
(5) Multifamily dwellings will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan or other planning guides or capital programs for the physical development of the district.
(6) Multifamily dwellings will not adversely affect the health, safety, security, morals or general welfare of residents, visitors or workers in the area.
(7) Multifamily dwellings will be served by adequate public services and facilities, including police and fire protection, water and sanitary sewer, storm drainage, public roads and other public improvements.
(8) Applicants will consider the environmental impact, the effect on sensitive features and opportunities for recreation and open space.
(9) Applicants will consider the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks.
(10) The petitioner has demonstrated a need for the requested use. The proposed use will have a lesser impact than the current use and will provide desirable, in-demand housing options for residents of Havre de Grace.

## GENERAL REFERENCES

Crm na sanct ons See Ch. 1, Art. I.
S te p an approva requ rements See Ch. 155.
Park ng spaces for tax cabs See Ch. 180.
Res dent a perm t park ng areas See Ch. 190, § 190-86.
Zonng See Ch. 205.

## § 122-1 Off-street parking spaces required for new or modified buildings.

On and after the effect ve date of th s chapter, no bu dng perm t sha be ssued for the construct on of any new bu dng and no use and occupancy perm $t$ sha be ssued for any ex st ng bu dng or structure, the use or nature of occupancy of wh ch s s gn ficanty changed or mod fied, un ess such bu dng, structure or property s prov ded w th off street park ng spaces as here nafter set forth.

## § 122-2 Exclusion of certain property.

The prov s ons of th s chapter sha not appy to any bu dng, structure or property $w$ th $n$ the area of the $C$ ty wh ch $s$ enc osed by the fo ow ng boundar es: Beg nn ng at the ntersect on of Green Street and Un on Avenue, runn ng south on Un on Avenue to ts ntersect on w th Bourbon Street; runn ng east on Bourbon Street and a project on thereof to the shore ne of the Susquehanna $R$ ver then north fo ow ng the shore ne of the Susquehanna $R$ ver to a po nt where Otsego Street, f projected to the Susquehanna R ver, wou d reach the rver; then fo ow ng Otsego Street west to ts ntersect on w th Freedom Lane; then south on Freedom Lane to ts ntersect on w th Green Street; then east on Green Street to the po $n t$ of beg $n n \mathrm{ng}$, except bu $d$ ngs and structures $w$ th more than three dwe $n g$ un ts.

## § 122-3 Location of parking area.

The requ red park ng area sha be ocated $w$ th $n$ the confines and boundar es of the ot or tract of and on wh ch the bu dng, structure or use s ocated.

## § 122-4 Compliance required.

t sha be un awfu for any property owner or tenant to fa to ma nta $n$ ess than the number of park ng spaces requ red by th s chapter or requ red by a dec s on of the Board of Appeas.

## § 122-5 Design standards for driveways and off-street parking areas.

A. Dr veways requ red. A off street park ng areas sha be connected to a pub c street or ane by at east one dr veway.
B. Dr veway w dth.
(1) S ng e fam y dwe ngs: A dr veways connect ng pub c streets or anes to off street park ng areas serv ng s ng e fam $y$ dwe ngs sha be a $m \mathrm{n}$ mum of n ne feet $\mathrm{n} w \mathrm{dth}$.
(2) A other uses: A dr veways connect ng pub c streets or anes to off street park ng areas serv ng users other than s ng e fam $y$ dwe ngs sha be des gned $n$ accordance $w$ th the fo owng:
(a) S ng e dr veways: S ng e dr veways sha prov de for s mutaneous ngress and egress and sha be a m mum of 16 feet n w dth.
(b) Mutpedr veways: When mutpedr veways connect pub c streets or anes to off street park ng areas, $n$ order to prov de separate ngress to and egress from sa $d$ off street park $n g$ areas, each dr veway sha be a $m n$ mum of $n$ ne feet $n w d t h$.
C. Dr veway surface. Every requ red dr veway sha be surfaced $w$ th port and cement or $b$ tum nous concrete un ess the dr veway s ocated wth $n$ the Cr t ca Area as defined n Chapter 49, Cr t ca Areas, conta ned n th s Code. Dr veways ocated $w$ th $n$ the Cr t ca Area may be constructed of permeab e mater a s, prov ded such mater a s are approved by the D rector of Pub c Works and the C ty Counc .
D. Park ng area des gn requ rements.
(1) Surface: Every requ red park ng area sha be surfaced $w$ th port and cement or b tum nous concrete un ess the park ng area $s$ ocated $w$ th $n$ the Crtca Area as defined $n$ Chapter 49, Crtca Areas, conta ned $n$th s Code. Parkng areas ocated $w$ th $n$ the Crtca Area may be constructed of permeabe mater as, prov ded such mater a s are approved by the D rector of Pub c Works and the C ty Counc.
(2) Str $p$ ng: Park ng areas serv ng s ng e fam $y$ dwe ngs need not be str ped. Park ng areas serv ng a other uses sha be str ped.
(3) Park ng space access: S ng e fam y dwe ng parkng spaces and des gnated emp oyee park ng spaces may be b ocked by other park ng spaces. A other park ng spaces sha not be b ocked by any other park ng space.
(4) Park ng space dmens ons: Each parkng space sha have a sta $w d t h$ of at east $n$ ne feet. For a ange park ng spaces, nc ud ng perpend cu ar parkng, a sta depth of at east 18 feet sha be requ red. For para e
parkng, a sta depth of at east 22 feet $s$ requ red. Hand cap access be parkng spaces sha meet the $d$ mens ona requ rements of ADA, as regu ated by the State of Mary and. n park ng areas of 50 or more spaces, up to $20 \%$ of the tota parkngspaces requ red may be des gned and des gnated for compact cars. Park ng spaces for compact cars sha have a d mens on of at east e ght feet by 16 feet, be grouped n spec fic areas and marked accord ng y.
(5) Park $n g$ a s e requ rements: Each a se prov $d n g$ access to sta $s$ for two way traffic sha be at east 22 feet $n$ $w d t h$, except a w dth of 20 feet may be a owed for areas of ong term emp oyee park ng. For as es prov dng access for one way traffic on y , the fo ow ng m n mum a sew dths sha app y :

| Angle of Parking | Minimum Aisle Width |
| :---: | :---: |
| (degrees) | (feet) |
| Para e | 12 |
| 30 | 12 |
| 45 | 14 |
| 60 | 18 |
| 90 | 20 |

## § 122-6 Number of spaces required.

[Amended 11162015 by Ord No 974]
The app cant for any bu dng perm $t$ or use and occupancy perm $t$ sha demonstrate that off street park ng spaces are prov ded as fo ows:
A. Res dent a use $n$ des gnated area. $n$ the area here nafter des gnated, new construct on of res dent a comp exes or deve opments cons st ng of more than three dwe ng un ts sha prov de three parkng spaces per dwe ng unt. The park ng space requ rement sha appy to a res dent a un ts $w$ th $n$ the des gnated area $w$ thout regard to the nature of such unts, .e., apartment comp exes, condom $n$ um comp exes, townhome comp exes, detached snge fam y homes, etc. The des gnated area subject to th s subsect on sas fo ows:
(1) Throughout the mun c pa boundar es of the C ty.
B. Except for those uses as set forth $n$ Subsect on $A$, the app cant for any bu $d n g$ perm $t$ or use and occupancy perm $t$ sha demonstrate that off street parkng spaces are prov ded as set forth $n$ Tabe.$^{[1]} n$ the case of any bu $d n g$, structure or prem ses, the use of wh ch $s$ not spec fica $y$ ment oned there $n$, the provs ons for a use wh ch $s$ ment oned and s most s m ar sha app y .
[1] Editor's Note: Table I is included as an attachment to this chapter.

## § 122-7 Board of Appeals.

Any owner of property upon wh ch a bu dng or structure s ocated or any app cant for a bu d ng or use permt who des res to appea a dec s on made pursuant hereto, who requests a var ance from the terms hereof or who requests an nterpretat on of the terms hereof may fie an appropr ate app cat on w th the Board of Appeas of Havre de Grace $n$ accordance $w$ th the terms of Art ce III, Board of Appea s, of Chapter 25, Boards, Comm ttees and Comm ss ons, conta ned n th s Code.

## § 122-8 Violations and penalties.

Any person conv cted of comm tt ng any un awfu act as prov ded $n$th s chapter sha be gu ty of a m sdemeanor. f any un awfu act $s$ cont nu $n g$, then each day dur ng wh ch such $v o$ at on cont nues sha be cons dered a separate offense. n add ton to any cr ma pena ty wh ch may be mposed, a the provsons of th s chapter may be enforced by pet on for njunct on fi ed on beha $f$ of the Mayor and $C$ ty Counc of Havre de Grace.

## TABLE I

|  | Spaces Required for Basic Measuring |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use or Use Category |  | Additional Requirements |
| One, two or three fam y dwe ng | 2 per fam y un t | § 1226 may app y $\mathrm{f} n$ the des gnated area |
| Church or temp e, aud tor um or pace of assemb y | 1 per 4 seats or bench spaces n ma n au d tor um on $y$ |  |
| Co ege or h gh schoo | 1 per 5 seats $n$ ma $n$ aud tor um or 1 per 8 c assroom seats, wh chever s greater |  |
| Nursery, k ndergarten, e ementary schoo or mddeschoo | 1 per 10 seats n man assemb y room or 1 per 10 c assroom seats, wh chever s greater |  |
| Country c ub | 1 per 400 square feet of floor area |  |
| Go f course | 5 per ho e |  |
| Pub c brary, museum, art ga ery or commun ty center | 10 spaces $p$ us 1 add $t$ ona space for each 300 square feet $n$ excess of 1,000 square |  |

TABLE I
Spaces Required for Basic Measuring Unit
feet
2 per fam $y$ un $t$

## Additional Requirements

§ 1226 may app y f n the des gnated area

1 per 3 seats or seat ng spaces

5 per ane
1 per 100 square feet of actua sw mm ng poo area
1 per 100 square feet of floor area

1 per emp oyee on prem ses $p$ us 1 space per 200 square feet

1 per 2 emp oyees on max mum work ng sh ft

1 per 200 square feet of tota space $n$ $\mathrm{c} u d \mathrm{ng}$ basement and attached garage 1 per 200 square feet of tota space $n$ c ud ng basement and attached garage
1 per 4 pat ent beds

1 per s eep ng room or su te p us 1 add $t$ ona space for emp oyee

1 per $s$ eep ng room $n$ hote $p$ us 1 per 200 square feet of floor space $n$ confer ence center

1 per 2 s eep ng rooms
1.5 per pat ent beds ( $n c$ udes staff park ng)
1 per 300 square feet of floor space stud o
1 per 50 square feet of floor area exc ud ng storage and work area
1 per 100 square feet of floor area
3 spaces mn mum

2 spaces m n mum; automob esa es and serv ce, 10 m n mum

ZONING

## 205 Attachment 1

## City of Havre de Grace

TABLE I
[Amended 5-4-2009 by Ord. No. 904; 7-16-2018 by Ord. No. 1007]

| Permitted Uses (Residential) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lot } \\ \text { Type } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Lot Area | Maximum Lot Coverage (percent) | Minimum Lot Width (feet) | Minimum <br> Front Yard <br> Setback <br> (feet) | Minimum Side Yard (feet) | Minimum <br> Rear Yard (feet) | Maximum Bldg. Height (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single family, detached |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | A | 15,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 100 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 40 |
| R 1 | B | 10,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 40 | 40 |
| R 2, RO, RB | C | 5,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 50 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Lane frontage RO, RB | CC | 2,700 sq. ft. | 50 | 30 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Duplexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over/under R 2, RO, RB | AA | 6,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 50 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Side by side R 2 | BB | 8,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 80 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Side by side RO, RB | AA | 6,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 50 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Semidetached |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2 | DD | 4,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 40 | 15 | 6 | 25 | 40 |
| RO, RB | EE | 3,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 25 | 40 |
| Townhouses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2, RO, RB | GG | 1,920 sq. ft. | 60 | 24 | 15 | none ${ }^{3}$ | 25 | 40 |
| Conditional uses (residential) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single family, detached |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | B | 10,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 40 | 40 |
| R 1, R 2, RO, RB | D | 5,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 25 | none | none | 25 | $60^{2}$ |
| Duplexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over/under R 2, RO, RB | DD | 4,000 sq. ft. | 40 | 40 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Side by side R 2 | AA | 6,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 50 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 40 |
| Side by side RO, RB | HH | 5,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 50 | none | none | 25 | $60^{2}$ |
| Semidetached |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2 | EE | 3,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 25 | 40 |
| RO, RB | JJ | 2,500 sq. ft. | 50 | 25 | none | none | 25 | $60^{2}$ |

## HAVRE DE GRACE

## TABLE I

(cont'd)

| Conditional Uses (Residential) ${ }^{1}$ (continued) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lot } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Lot Area | Maximum Lot Coverage (percent) | Minimum <br> Lot Width (feet) | Minimum <br> Front Yard <br> Setback (feet) | Minimum Side Yard (feet) | Minimum <br> Rear Yard (feet) | Maximum Bldg. Height (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Townhouses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2, RO, RB | E | 1,440 sq. ft. | 60 | 18 | 10 | none ${ }^{3}$ | 25 | $60^{2}$ |
| Multifamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2, RO, RB | F | 10,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 40 | $80^{2}$ |
| Rooming house |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R 2, RO, RB | FF | 10,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 40 |
| Hotel, motel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted C; conditional RB | F | 10,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 40 | $80^{2}$ |
| Churches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted R, R 1, R 2, RO, RB | G | $40,000 \text { sq. ft. }$ | $60$ | $100$ | $15$ | $15$ | $40$ | 100 |
| Permitted C, conditional R 1, R 2, RO, RB | $\mathrm{H}$ | $6,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. | $80$ | $60$ | none | none | none | 100 |
| Community facilities | I | 3,000 sq. ft. | none | 25 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 60 |
| Clubs | J | 10,000 sq. ft. | 60 | 100 | none | none | 15 | 60 |
| Schools | K | 15,000 sq. ft. | 50 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 60 |
| Utility structures | L | none | 50 | none | 15 | 5 | 5 | 60 |
| Parking facilities | M |  |  | 40 |  |  |  | 60 |
| Offices, retail stores, childcare facilities, banks, personal service shops, funeral establishments, restaurants, animal care facilities, theaters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted | N | 1,200 sq. ft. | none | none | none | none | none | 60 |
| Conditional | O | 400 sq. ft. | none | none | none | none | none | 60 |
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July 26, 2023

## DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING STAFF REPORT

## BOARD OF APPEALS Case No. 530

PERMIT NO.

PROJECT:
APPLICANT/OWNER: Lisa Scott-Coleman 552 Lewis Street Havre de Grace, Maryland 21078

ATTORNEY: Walter Roman. Jr. 303 Foxfire Place, Suite G Cockeysville, Maryland 21030

LOCATION:
552 and 556 Lewis Street Three parcels (map attached) Tax Map: 602 / Parcels: $1540,1541 \& 1542$

AREA: $\quad 0.138$ acres total (3,000 sq. ft.; $3,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} . ; 6,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$, respectively)
ZONING: RO/Residential Office
DATE FILED:
HEARING DATE: August 3,2023 - Board of Appeals

## APPLICANT'S REQUEST:

The Applicant is requesting zoning approval for expansion of an existing, non-conforming use of a Funeral Establishment in the RO/Residential Office zoning district that requires approval by the Board of Appeals. Since the existing Funeral Home is not permitted within the RO zoning district, it is considered a "nonconforming use" permitted per Chapter 205(3)(A)(4). Also, the Applicant is seeking a Variance for the Funeral Home operations per Chapter 122, Parking, Off-Street, of the City Code. A "Shared Parking Agreement" is how the funeral services propose to provide parking, as they currently do not have the space for onsite parking within their lots.

## LAND USE - EXISTING:

The property on the corner of Lewis Street and South Freedom Lane. The existing two-story house on 556 Lewis Street is currently vacant. The adjacent building on 552 Lewis Street currently serves as the existing Funeral Home. Attached to this report is a 2023 Aerial Photographs (Attachment 1 \& 12).

## ZONING:

The subject property is zoned $\mathrm{RO} /$ Residential Office as shown on the enclosed copy of the zoning map (Attachment 2). The zoning in the immediately surrounding area is $\mathrm{RB} /$ Residential Business to the Northwest corner of the subject property and R1/Residential to the Southwest corner of the subject property. (Attachment 2)

## PROPOSED USE:

The Applicant is proposing to expand the Funeral Home operations at their current location. The existing two-story house on 556 Lewis Street is a permitted use within the Residential Office zoning district and will serve as an office for administrative functions as allowed $\S 205-23(\mathrm{H})$. The project is aimed at adding a 665 square foot multipurpose/storage area to the funeral home that will support the chapel and administrative areas of the business. The existing chapel will also be expanded from 32 seats to 40 seats. The new structure will have a new entrance, sitting area, and completely reimagined business center. The current Funeral Establishment is a nonconforming use. However, the proposal is for "modifications of nonconforming uses to other nonconforming uses and modifications", which is permitted under $\S 205-(3)(\mathrm{A})(4)$ with Board of Appeals approval. Attached to this report is a statement of work, office conversion plan, site plan, and license agreement (Included as Attachments $3 \& 4,5 \&$ 6, 7-10, and 11).

## REVIEW OF APPLICABLE CODE SECTIONS:

Several sections are applicable to the applicants property and are as follows: §205-3(A)(4) and (5) discusses the expansion of nonconforming uses; Section §25-17(B) provides the findings requirements for special exceptions, and $\S 25-17(\mathrm{C})$ provides the requirements for variances under the City Code. Also, of-street parking requirements are listed under Chapter 122 of the City Code. The Department of Planning has reviewed said sections and offers the responses found below.

The current funeral services are spread across two of the three existing lots on the Lewis Street parcels. Lot consolidation will be required. Also, with consolidation, the modifications of nonconforming structure shall be permitted with Board of Appeal approval. The proposed modification would exceed the $25 \%$ gross floor area allowed without Board of Appeal approval per §205-3(A)(5).

The zoning code does not provide direction on what should be considered when the Board of Appeals examines the expansion of non-conforming uses. The analysis for Special Exceptions/Conditional Uses is comprehensive. We will use the findings required under Section 25-17(B) of the City Code to examine the effect the expansion will have on City resources and the surrounding community.

## FINDINGS

A special exception may be granted when the Board of Appeals finds from the evidence of record that the proposed use:
(1) Is a permissible special exception within the zone and that the petition complies with all procedural requirements set forth in this article;

Special exception analysis is not required for examining the expansion of non-conforming uses. However, it is helpful in examining the impact on the neighborhood.
(2) Complies with all standards and requirements specifically set forth for such use as may be contained in this article and the development standards for the zoning district within which the intended use will be located;

The applicant will be renovating the existing two-story house to serve as an office and administrative space for the Funeral Establishment operations which is consistent with the Residential Office zoning district of permittable uses per §205-23(H) of the City's Code.

The applicant will also be expanding the Funeral Establishment with a new 665 square foot multipurpose/storage area and adding eight seats to the existing chapel. New renovations will include a new front entrance, lobby, and restrooms. The proposal is for "modifications of nonconforming uses to other nonconforming uses", which are permitted as a continuing use under §205-3(A)(4) with Boards of Appeal approval.
(3) Will not be detrimental to the use, peaceful enjoyment, economic value or development of surrounding properties or the general neighborhood; and will cause no objectionable noise, vibrations, fumes, odors, dust, toxicity, glare or physical activity;

The Funeral Establishment and administrative services are an existing use at this location. The applicant's proposed expansion will provide additional services for funerals, but the size and frequency should not differ. There will be no other objectional nuisances if this expansion is approved.
(4) Will be in harmony with the general character of the neighborhood considering population density, design, scale and bulk of any proposed new structure or conversion of existing structures, as well as the intensity and character of activity, traffic and parking conditions and number of similar uses;

The existing Funeral Establishment is a nonconforming use within the Residential Office zoning district. The expansion will not create additional impacts, except with the potential of off-street parking. The Applicant is requesting a variance from the off-street parking requirements in Chapter 122. They are able to provide all necessary parking, but need to utilize eight spaces from the Colored School Museum to the rear of the property.
(5) Will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan or other planning guides or capital programs for the physical development of the district;

The City's 2010 Comprehensive plan recognizes the need to support the growth of economic development, and retention and expansion of existing businesses as outlined in Chapter 12 Economic Development.
(6) Will not adversely affect the health, safety, security, morals or general welfare of residents, visitors or workers in the area;

The proposed use and expansion will not adversely affect residents, visitors, or workers in the area beyond what is currently occurring with standard Funeral Establishment services and operations.
(7) Will be served by adequate public services and facilities, including police and fire protection, water and sanitary sewer, storm drainage, public roads and other public improvements; and

The subject properties are currently served by water and sewer, and will utilize existing infrastructure. In addition, the existing parking lot located at 555 Alliance Street is underutilized and can provide the Applicant in meeting her parking needs without creating additional impervious parking areas.
(8) Will consider the environmental impact, the effect on sensitive features and opportunities for recreation and open space.

Not applicable for the subject property.
(9) Will consider the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks.

The existing Funeral Establishment structure is a historically significant. The existing structure will now be expanded by the proposed new multipurpose/storage area addition. The new addition will be in harmony with the existing architecture. As stated by the applicant "Statement of work: The job would consist of fully renovating the existing 1,500 square foot house, that will feature new windows and paint to match the new building and the garage. Also, adding a scenic walkway and (3) parking spaces adjacent to the historic Havre de Grace colored school."
(10) That the petitioner has demonstrated a need for the requested use.

The applicant has demonstrated that there is a need for the expansion of the Funeral Home operations and stated "the project is aimed at adding much needed space to the funeral home operation to comfortably service our customer base." Also, "we plan on adding a 1,000+ square foot multipurpose/(storage) area that will support the chapel and administrative areas of the business."

## FINDINGS:

For the expansion of the funeral home and the office conversion, the
Below is an analysis of the Variance findings as listed in §25-17(C) of the City Code:

## The Board of Appeals shall not grant a request for a variance unless the Board finds:

1) Because of certain unique physical conditions, such as irregularity, narrowness, shallowness of lot size and shape, or exceptional topographical conditions peculiar to and inherent in the particular lot, there is no reasonable possibility in pursuing the proposed use or developing the lot in strict conformance with zoning regulations; and,

Without razing the existing structures on the property, there is nowhere to safely add more parking spaces. Lots 1540 and 1541 are only 25 foot wide, which is narrow even in comparison to other properties in the City.
2) Because of exceptional circumstances other than financial considerations, the granting of a variance is necessary to avoid practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship, and to enable the applicant to pursue the proposed use or development of the lot; and,

Granting this variance will allow for providing additional services on the subject's property. It is necessary to avoid practical difficulties on the small lots and would create a hardship. The Applicant is able to adhere to the City Code if allowed to utilize the adjacent property for parking.
3) That literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship; and,

As mentioned in finding number one above, literal enforcement of the ordinance would make the properties non-developable and strict adherence to the code would cause unnecessary hardship.
4) Granting the relief requested would not do substantial injury to the public health, safety and general welfare and is the minimum necessary relief to permit the petitioners' use of the lot.

Granting this variance would allow for the continuing use of the Funeral Establishment and allow the Applicant to provide her customers additional services. There is a mix of residential and businesses in the surrounding neighborhoods which will benefit from the "Shared Parking Agreement" that would mitigate on street parking. This type of variance will not do substantial injury to the public health, safety and general welfare of the community beyond current business operations. The minimum relief would be allowing the shared parking agreement with the conditions listed below.

## RECOMMENDATION \& SUGGESTED CONDITIONS:

The Department of Planning recommends APPROVAL of the modification of an existing nonconforming use of a Funeral Establishment expansion in the RO/Residential Office District located at 552 and 556 Lewis Street. The Department also recommends APPROVAL of the Variance request, subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall consolidate the three lots prior to receiving a building permit. A note with the variance approval and Board of Appeals Case Number must be included on the recorded lot consolidation and deed.
2. The applicant shall repair or replace existing fencing around the property, and make sure all properties are in compliance with Chapter 31 of the City Code.
3. The applicant shall restrict usage of the two-story single family house to administrative/office business as allowed within the RO zoning district per §205-23 and §205-25.C.
4. The applicant will construct a driveway where physically possible with the expansion plans on Parcel 1540.
5. Since the existing structure on 552 Lewis Street is historically significant, and the existing structure at 556 Lewis Street was traditionally a house, the Department of Planning believes it is important that any new development blends in the fabric of the surrounding community. Property owners shall consult with the City's Historic Preservation Commission on all future designs and provide proposed designs for review and comment prior to receiving a building permit.
6. Supplemental parking shall be allowed per a "shared parking agreement" between The Havre de Grace Colored School Museum and Cultural Center parking lot located on 555 Alliance Street and 556 Lewis Street Funeral. At any time the "shared parking agreement" ceases to exist, the use must stop without a new, approved (by the Director of Planning) shared parking agreement or other accommodation for eight parking spaces.
7. Provide a safe path with a gate for pedestrians to move between 555 Alliance Street to the funeral home properties.
8. Submit detailed plans indicating the location of landscaping, fencing and other outdoor improvements. The plans do not need to be signed and sealed if the Applicant does not have to seek Site Plan approval.
9. No future nonconforming uses or structures can be expanded or authorized.
10. The Applicant shall obtain all applicable permits and inspections for the building renovations.
11. All applicable fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Use \& Occupancy Permit.

## Timothy Bourcier

07/26/2023
Tim Bourcier
Date
Director
Department of Planning
cc: Eric Lawrence, Associate Planner
Marisa Willis, CFM, Planner
Colleen Critzer, Permits Clerk

556 Lewis Street
Funeral Expansion - Continuing Existing Use §205-3.A(4)

Attachment 1 - 2023 Aerial Photograph

2023 Aerial -556 Lewis Street


556 Lewis Street
Funeral Expansion - Continuing Existing Use §205-3.A(4)

Attachment 2 - 2023 Zoning District Map

Zoning Map -556 Lewis Street


Est. HERE Gaxmin FAO USGS. EPA. NPS

Department of Planning
BOA Staff Report
556 Lewis Street
Funeral Expansion - Continuing Existing Use §205-3.A(4)

## Attachment 3 \& 4: Statement of Work:

$\mathbf{1 0 | P}$ a g e

## iMec

## Rough Order Estimate

556 Lewis St. Harve De Grace MD. 21078 2/15/23

| Description | Unit | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New Multipurpose Structure |  |  |
| The multipurpose space will be built off the main funeral home <br> connecting both the current funeral home and the outside garage. This <br> will be the new front entrance which includes a lobby and restrooms. It <br> should be programmed to be used as an additional chapel, meeting room <br> or dining area | 1382 SF | $\$ 310,950$ |
| Renovated House |  |  |
| The two-level house will be the new business center for the funeral home <br> operation. The layout will have office area, show room area, TV/video <br> conferencing space. Also, a small kitchen area and 1 1/2 restrooms. The <br> basement will be finished to provide additional storage space. All the <br> entrance ways will be expanded with double doors to ensure <br> functionality. The main entrance will be relocated in the back of the house <br> which is currently the patio | 1563 SF | $\$ 296,340$ |
| Garage Area | $\$ 29,900$ |  |
| The current garage area will be upgraded to a double door entry, new <br> lighting, and epoxy flooring. The outside structure should be painted to <br> match the new facility and the existing funeral home. |  |  |
| Existing Funeral Home | $\$ 67,500$ |  |
| The existing funeral home is a historic structure, that will now be connected by <br> the proposed new multipurpose addition. The current entrance way will be <br> moved. The current ADA access ramp will be extended to service the new <br> multipurpose area. |  |  |

## IMEC Group, LLC

6470 Dobbin Road, Suite B | Columbia, Maryland 28562
Tel: 1.443.583.7890 | Fax 1.443.458.7743
www.imecgrouplic.com

## Statement of work:

The project goal is to add square footage to the overall campus of the funeral home. The job would consist of fully renovating the existing 1500 sqft house, that will feature new windows and paint to match the new building and the garage. Also adding a scenic walkway and (3) parking spaces in the area adjacent to the historic Havre de Grace colored school.

## New multipurpose structure:

The multipurpose space will be built off the main funeral home connecting both the current funeral home and the outside garage. This will be the new front entrance which includes a lobby and restrooms. It should be programmed to be used as an additional chapel, meeting room or dining area.

## Renovated house:

The two-level house will be the new business center for the funeral home operation. The layout will have office area, show room area, TV/video conferencing space. Also, a small kitchen area and $11 / 2$ restrooms. The basement will be finished to provide additional storage space. All the entrance ways will be expanded with double doors to ensure functionality. The main entrance will be relocated in the back of the house which is currently the patio.

## Garage area:

The current garage area will be upgraded to a double door entry, new lighting, and epoxy flooring. The outside structure should be painted to match the new facility and the existing funeral home.

## Existing funeral home:

The existing funeral home is a historic structure, that will now be connected by the proposed new multipurpose addition. The current entrance way will be moved. The current ADA access ramp will be extended to service the new multipurpose area.

Department of Planning
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Attachment 5 \& 6: 2023 Office Conversion:
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DWELLING STRUCTMRE CONVERTES TO BUSINESS OFFILE


Basement
796 sq. ft


First floor
919 sq. ft.


Second floor
6611 Sq. ft.
$\sqrt{\text { SSUE DATE: } \quad 12 / 12 / 2023}$

Department of Planning
BOA Staff Report
556 Lewis Street
Funeral Expansion - Continuing Existing Use §205-3.A(4)

Attachment 7 through 10-2023 Site Plan
$\mathbf{1 2 | P a g e}$

## NOTES:




5) Sotbock diftance occurocy: s? quarantend by thie location

NOTE: BRICK BUILDING AND FENCE
 APPEAR TO ENCROACH INTO THE SUBIECT PROPERTY.


Subject property is shown in Zone $X$ on the FIRM Map of Harford County, Maryland on Community Panel Number 24025 C 0212 D. effective JANUARY 7, 2000

NOTE: NO PROPERTY CONTROL FOUND. ROADS HELD FOR POSSESSION.

This is to certify that I have surveyed the property shown hereon, being known as \$556 LEWIS STREE
A.K.A. LOT 191 BLOCK 240 "HOPPER'S MAP" P.B. $2 / 79$ and recorded among the land records of Harford County, Maryland in LIEER 1145
folio 640
for the purpose of locating the improvements thereon.

* This plat is of benefit to the consumer only insofar as it is required by a lender or a title insurance company or its agent in connection with contemplated tronsfer, financing, or refinancing purposes.
* This plat is not to be relied upon for the establishment of location of
- fences, garages, buildings, or other existing or future structures.

This plat does not provide for the accurate identification of property boundary lines, but such identification may not be required for the transfer of title or for securing financing or refinancing.


NOTE: BRICK BUULDING AND FENCE APPEAR: TO ENCROACH INTO THE SUBUECT PROPERTY.

LIBER 441/505


Subject property is shown in Zone $X$ on the FIRM Mop of Horford County. $24025 C 0212$ D. effective $\mathbb{A}$ ANUÁRY 7, 2000

NOTE: NO PROPERTY CONTROL FOUND. ROADS HELD FOR POSSESSION.

This is to certify that I have surveyed the property shown hereon, being known as

$$
{ }^{\text {A.K.A. LOT } 191 \text { BLCCK } 240 \text { HOP STEE }}
$$

and recorded among the land records of Harford County, Maryland in LIEER 1145 , folio 640
for the purpose of locating the improvements thereon.

* This plat is af benefit to the consumer only insofar as it la required by a lender ar a title insurance company or lis agent In connection whth
contemplated tronsfer, finanoling, or refinanting, purposiss.
* This plat is not to be relied upon for the osicablishment of locediton of
* fences, gargas, bulidings, or other axisting or titure struotures.
 of thory lines, but such ldensification may not be required for the tronsfar of tlte or for securing finameing or refinoncing.




## LOCATION DRAWING

556 LEWIS STREET
6th ELECTION DISTRICT HARFORD COUNTY, MARYLAND

| NTT Assoclatos. Inc. | Scale: | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 16205 old Frederick Rd. M Mt. Airy Maryland 2177 ! | Datai $1 / 15 / 12$ |  |
|  | Field By: | DEM |
| Fox: (410)442-1315 | Drawn By: | DE |
| bsite: wwwinttsuiveyors.con | Drawing | $1{ }^{1} 12$ |
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## Attachment 11-2023 "Shared Parking Agreement"

$\mathbf{1 3 | P a g e}$


April 4, 2022


Department of Planning
BOA Staff Report
556 Lewis Street
Funeral Expansion - Continuing Existing Use §205-3.A(4)

## Attachment 12 - July 2023 Site Photos







555 ALLIANCE STREET (HDG COLORED SCHOOL) FACING NORTH TOWARDS 556 LEWIS STREET-PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR


555 ALLIANCE STREET (HDG Colored School) FACING NORTHEAST-


| Attorney/Represe | $\underline{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mailing Address | - |
| EmAIL Address - | - |

## Land Description

Address and Location of Property $\qquad$ 556 Lewis Street, Havre de Grace, Maryland 21078

Subdivision $\qquad$ Lot Number 191
Acreage/Lot Size 3.000 sqft Zoning 06 TaxID \#_ 008658
Tax Map No. 0602 Grid No. ___ Parcel 1541
List ALL structures on property and current use: Two story house that is vacant. AND
EXISTING FUNERAL HOME BUILDING

Estimated time required to present case: $\quad 15 \mathrm{~min}$.
Would approval of this petition violate the covenants and restrictions for your property, if any? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Is this property located within the County's Chesapeake Bay Critical Area? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Is this property located within a Special Flood Hazard Zone? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If so , what is the Critical Area Land Use designations: $\qquad$
Is this request the result of a zoning enforcement investigation? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$

## Request

The project is aimed at adding much needed space to the funeral home operation to comfortably service our customer base. We plan on adding a $1,000+$ sqft multipurpose area that will support the chapel and administrative areas of the business.
The new structure will have a new entrance, sitting area and completely reimagined business center.

## Justification

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

I/We agree to provide additional information as requested by the Department of Planning, Planning Commission or the Board of Appeals.
1/We do hereby declare that no officer or employee of the City of Havre de Grace, whether elected or appointed, has received prior hereto or will receive subsequent hereto any monetary or material consideration, any service or thing of value, directly or indirectly, upon more favorable terms than those granted to the public generally in connection with the submission, processing, issuance, grant or aware of the within application or petition.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm under the penalties of perjury that this petition contains names and addresses of all persons having legal or equitable interest in the property, including shareholders owning more than five percent (5\%) of the stock in a corporation having any interest in the property, except those corporations listed and traded on a recognized stock exchange.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the aforegoing affidavit are true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge, information and belief.

I/We agree, upon final action, to comply with all requirements or conditions imposed by the Planning Commission and/or Board of Appeals.

Signature of Co-Applicant Date

| Signature of Attorney/Representative | Date | Witness | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

